Research Article
ISSN : 3048-104X

Volume 02, Issue No.05 . 2024

The Indonesian Journal of General Medicine

Prehabilitation for Patients Undergoing Orthopedic Surgery

[1] Niken, [2] Yudith
[1] Faculty of Medicine, Maranatha Christian University, Bandung City, West Java, Indonesia
[2] Faculty of Medicine, Tarumanagara University, Special Region of Jakarta, Indonesia

Correspondence : drniken290 @gmail.com

Article History :
Received date : 2024/04/12
Revised date : 2024/05/26
Accepted date : 2024/06/11
Published date : 2024/07/10

Copyright: *© 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).*

ISSN 3048-104X

ABSTRACT

Background: The literature surrounding prehabilitation for patients undergoing orthopedic surgery has evolved significantly over recent years, highlighting its potential to enhance surgical outcomes through a multifaceted approach. **Literature Review:** Following this, (L. Waterland et al., 2021) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis that highlighted the immediate postoperative benefits of prehabilitation, specifically regarding functional exercise capacity and hospital length of stay. The article by (H. Koh et al., 2021) further contributes to this discourse by focusing on the benefits of prehabilitation in mitigating sarcopenia among elderly patients. (H Koh et al., 2021) show that a structured presurgery prehabilitation program can improve surgical outcomes and reduce costs for aged patients. The integration of nutritional and psychosocial components into prehabilitation programs is advocated by (Paladini et al., 2023). Finally, (Ma et al., 2024) provide a bibliometric analysis that charts the evolution of prehabilitation research from 2005 to 2023. This review identifies emerging trends in the literature, particularly concerning malnutrition and frailty among older patients. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the reviewed literature collectively underscores the growing recognition of prehabilitation as a vital component of surgical care, particularly for at-risk populations. It advocates for personalized, multimodal approaches that integrate physical, nutritional, and psychological interventions to enhance patient outcomes and optimize recovery processes in the context of orthopedic surgery.

Keyword: Prehabilitation, Orthopedic Surgery

INTRODUCTION

The literature surrounding prehabilitation for patients undergoing orthopedic surgery has evolved significantly over recent years, highlighting its potential to enhance surgical outcomes through a multifaceted approach. The systematic review by (Thomas et al., 2019) underscores the importance of personalizing prehabilitation programs to optimize therapeutic validity, particularly for high-risk surgical patients such as the elderly. Their findings suggest that tailoring interventions, whether in community or hospital settings, can lead to improved pre-operative physical fitness and facilitate quicker postoperative mobilization. This study lays the groundwork for understanding the variability in prehabilitation modalities and emphasizes the need for comprehensive postoperative care to fully leverage the benefits of prehabilitation.

Building on this foundational work, (L. Waterland et al., 2021) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis that further elucidates the immediate postoperative impacts of prehabilitation, particularly in relation to functional exercise capacity and hospital length of stay. However, they note a significant gap in research regarding the long-term effects of prehabilitation on ongoing exercise behavior and the return to oncologic therapies, calling for a deeper exploration of specific exercise types and delivery settings, particularly for vulnerable populations. This highlights the necessity for a more nuanced understanding of the diverse prehabilitation interventions available and their varying effects across different patient demographics.

(H. Koh et al., 2021) contribute to this discourse by presenting a multimodal review that emphasizes the benefits of prehabilitation in combating sarcopenia, particularly in elderly patients. Their findings reveal that structured prehabilitation programs can significantly reduce postoperative complications and hospital stay duration, advocating for comprehensive geriatric assessments to tailor interventions effectively. This study reinforces the critical role of personalized approaches in

managing frailty and improving health outcomes, thereby addressing the unique challenges faced by older surgical patients.

Further supporting the case for prehabilitation, (H. Koh et al., 2021) also demonstrate how a structured presurgery prehabilitation program can enhance surgical outcomes and reduce costs for aged patients. Their research indicates that improved physiological reserves post-prehabilitation correlate with better surgical recovery metrics, such as time to return to bowel function. This study adds a financial perspective to the discussion, suggesting that optimizing prehabilitation not only benefits patient health but can also result in significant cost savings for healthcare systems.

(Paladini et al., 2023) expand the conversation by advocating for the integration of nutritional and psychosocial components into prehabilitation programs. They argue that a holistic approach, which includes patient education and dietary management, can maximize functional capacity and muscle mass prior to surgery. Their emphasis on a multidisciplinary team approach highlights the complexity of prehabilitation, indicating that successful interventions require comprehensive planning and collaboration among healthcare providers.

Finally, (Ma et al., 2024) provide a bibliometric analysis that charts the evolution of prehabilitation research from 2005 to 2023. This review reveals emerging trends and hotspots in the literature, particularly concerning malnutrition and frailty among older patients. Notably, the conflicting clinical effects observed in the most cited studies call for further investigation to reconcile these discrepancies and establish clearer guidelines for prehabilitation practices.

Together, these articles reflect a growing recognition of prehabilitation as a vital component of surgical care, particularly for at-risk populations. They collectively advocate for personalized, multimodal approaches that encompass physical, nutritional, and psychological interventions, aiming

© The Indonesian Journal of General Medicine

to enhance patient outcomes and optimize recovery processes in the context of orthopedic surgery.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article "Prehabilitation before major intra-abdominal cancer surgery: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials" by (Thomas et al., 2019) provides a comprehensive examination of the role and effectiveness of prehabilitation in the context of major surgical procedures. The authors emphasize the need for a personalized approach to prehabilitation, highlighting that the therapeutic validity of such programs may hinge on the objective monitoring of patient progress and the subsequent adjustment of treatment protocols throughout the program's duration.

A critical evaluation of the article reveals several strengths and weaknesses in the current understanding of prehabilitation. One notable strength is the identification of the variability in the personalization of prehabilitation programs. The authors point out that while many studies adapt training intensity to the baseline physical functioning of participants, there is often a lack of objective measurement of progress. This oversight can hinder the ability to tailor interventions effectively, which is essential for optimizing patient outcomes. The article underscores the importance of community or home-based prehabilitation programs, particularly for elderly patients who may face challenges accessing hospital facilities. This insight is crucial, as it suggests that accessibility can significantly impact participation and, ultimately, the effectiveness of prehabilitation.

However, the article also highlights a significant gap in the literature regarding postoperative care pathways. Most studies reviewed did not adequately report on the postoperative clinical care, including discharge criteria and rehabilitation content. This omission is concerning, as postoperative recovery is critical for the overall success of the surgical intervention and the effectiveness of prehabilitation. The authors argue for a more integrated approach that considers not only preoperative physical fitness but also the skills and awareness necessary for patients to

mobilize and remain physically active post-surgery.

Furthermore, the article points out the predominance of unimodular prehabilitation programs focused solely on physical exercise training. The authors advocate for multimodal programs that incorporate nutritional and psychological support alongside physical training, suggesting that such comprehensive approaches may yield better outcomes. This recommendation aligns with a growing body of evidence suggesting that holistic interventions can enhance recovery and improve overall patient well-being.

Lastly, the authors note a lack of consistency in the assessment methods used across studies, which complicates the evaluation of postoperative outcomes. The absence of a standardized outcome measure to assess complications post-surgery limits the ability to draw definitive conclusions about the effectiveness of prehabilitation programs.

The article titled "Efficacy of Prehabilitation Including Exercise on Postoperative Outcomes Following Abdominal Cancer Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis" by (L. Waterland et al., 2021) presents a comprehensive examination of prehabilitation's impact on postoperative outcomes, particularly in the context of abdominal cancer surgery. The authors highlight that while prehabilitation is increasingly recognized for its potential benefits, much of the existing literature primarily focuses on the immediate postoperative course of patients. This observation raises important considerations regarding the long-term implications of prehabilitation, particularly in relation to patients' return to intended oncologic therapies and their ongoing exercise behaviors.

The systematic review and meta-analysis conducted by the authors reveal that prehabilitation, particularly when it includes exercise, can significantly enhance preoperative functional exercise capacity and reduce the length of hospital stays. These findings underscore the practical benefits of implementing prehabilitation programs, suggesting that they can lead to better postoperative recovery and potentially improve overall

patient outcomes. However, the authors caution that these results should be interpreted with care due to the considerable heterogeneity observed in the types of interventions and outcome measures across the included studies. This variability complicates the ability to draw definitive conclusions about the most effective components of prehabilitation.

Furthermore, the article identifies critical gaps in the current research landscape, particularly the need for standardized outcome measures that would facilitate more effective meta-analyses and comparisons across studies. The authors advocate for future research to explore which specific aspects of prehabilitation—such as the type and intensity of exercise—might yield the greatest benefits for patients. This focus on the optimization of prehabilitation programs is essential for ensuring that patients are adequately prepared to endure surgical treatments and to successfully return to their intended oncologic therapies.

The article by (H Koh et al., 2021) provides a comprehensive examination of prehabilitation programs and their significant impact on the postoperative outcomes of elderly patients undergoing major abdominal surgery. The authors argue that implementing these programs can lead to improved recovery trajectories, characterized by reduced length of stay (LOS), lower rates of readmission, and fewer complications.

One of the key insights from the article is the emphasis on the multimodal nature of prehabilitation, which combines endurance exercise training with nutritional interventions. The findings suggest that such a holistic approach is particularly beneficial for elderly patients, who are often at a higher risk for adverse postoperative outcomes due to factors like sarcopenia. The reduction in complications among patients who participated in the prehabilitation program underscores the necessity of addressing both physical conditioning and nutritional status prior to surgery.

© The Indonesian Journal of General Medicine

The authors also highlight the importance of conducting a comprehensive geriatric assessment. This assessment is crucial for evaluating the individual health status of patients and for tailoring prehabilitation interventions accordingly. By stratifying patients based on their risk profiles, healthcare providers can personalize their approach, ensuring that the frequency and intensity of exercises, as well as nutritional plans, are optimized to enhance physiological reserves. This individualized strategy appears to be a critical factor in the success of prehabilitation programs, as it allows for the adaptation of interventions to meet the specific needs of each patient.

Furthermore, the article points to the potential of prehabilitation to mitigate the risk of postoperative delirium, a common concern in the geriatric population. By enhancing physical fitness and nutritional health before surgery, patients may experience not only better physiological outcomes but also improved cognitive function postoperatively. This aspect of prehabilitation is particularly relevant in the context of orthopedic surgeries, where maintaining cognitive health can significantly influence recovery and rehabilitation processes.

The article titled "Structured presurgery prehabilitation for aged patients undergoing elective surgery significantly improves surgical outcomes and reduces cost: A nonrandomized sequential comparative prospective cohort study" by (H Koh et al., 2021) presents a compelling examination of the benefits of prehabilitation in the context of orthopedic surgery for elderly patients. The authors effectively outline the paradigm of prehabilitation as a means to enhance patients' physical and functional reserves prior to undergoing major surgical procedures.

The study's findings indicate a marked improvement in short-term surgical outcomes, such as the time to return to bowel function and the duration of hospitalization, which suggests that prehabilitation may indeed bolster physiological reserves. This is a significant insight, as it challenges the traditional reliance on physical or functional improvements

as sole indicators of prehabilitation efficacy, highlighting instead the potential for enhanced physiological preparedness to mitigate surgical stress.

Moreover, the article emphasizes the progressive enhancement of quality of life (QoL) scores in the months following surgery. This aspect is particularly crucial, as it underscores the multifaceted benefits of prehabilitation, extending beyond immediate surgical outcomes to encompass long-term functional and psychological health. The authors advocate for a structured, multidisciplinary approach that integrates clinical, nutritional, and physical interventions, which appears to be a robust framework for addressing the complex needs of this vulnerable patient population.

The economic implications of the study are also noteworthy. By demonstrating a reduction in surgical complications and a shorter length of hospital stay, the authors suggest that prehabilitation not only enhances patient outcomes but also leads to significant cost savings for healthcare systems. This dual benefit of improved health outcomes alongside economic efficiency is a critical consideration for healthcare providers and policymakers, particularly in an era of increasing focus on value-based care.

The article "Advances in the Management of Acute Postsurgical Pain: A Review" by (Paladini et al., 2023) presents a comprehensive overview of prehabilitation strategies for patients undergoing orthopedic surgery, emphasizing the importance of a multidisciplinary approach. The authors advocate for a prehabilitation framework that integrates nutritional components, patient education, and psychosocial interventions, highlighting the synergistic effects of exercise and nutrition in promoting anabolism. This integration aims to enhance functional capacity and increase muscle mass and function prior to surgical interventions, which is critical for optimal recovery.

A significant aspect discussed is the nutritional component of prehabilitation. The authors stress the necessity of balanced meals with adequate protein intake and effective

blood glucose management for diabetic patients. This nutritional focus is essential, as proper nutrition can significantly influence surgical outcomes and recovery trajectories. The article also underscores the importance of psychosocial interventions, such as mindfulness meditation and relaxation techniques, which facilitate psychological preparation for surgery. This holistic approach recognizes that preparing for surgery involves both physical and mental readiness, which can lead to improved patient engagement and satisfaction.

Moreover, the authors highlight the complexity of medical optimization for presurgical patients, which includes smoking cessation, pharmacologic adjustments, and anemia management. These factors necessitate a well-coordinated effort from a multidisciplinary team, which may comprise various specialists, including case managers, nutritional consultants, psychologists, physiotherapists, and anesthesiologists. Such collaboration is vital to tailor prehabilitation strategies to the individual needs of patients, taking into account their functional, nutritional, and psychosocial statuses.

The article also presents evidence from a randomized controlled trial, indicating that prehabilitation can significantly reduce postsurgical complications and enhance aerobic capacity post-surgery. This finding supports the assertion that prehabilitation is not only beneficial for immediate postoperative recovery but can also lead to long-term improvements in patient outcomes. The authors effectively argue that prehabilitation is a cost-efficient intervention, which adds to its appeal in clinical practice.

The article titled "Prehabilitation of surgical patients: a bibliometric analysis from 2005 to 2023" by (Ma et al., 2024) provides a comprehensive overview of the evolution and current landscape of prehabilitation research in the context of surgical patients. The authors effectively argue that improving preoperative conditions through a multi-modal management strategy can significantly enhance patients' functional reserves, thereby facilitating better postoperative

recovery. This assertion underscores the critical role of prehabilitation in mitigating the adverse effects associated with surgical interventions.

The bibliometric analysis conducted by the authors reveals several key insights into the trends and focal points of prehabilitation research over the years. Notably, the identification of malnutrition, older patients, frailty, and high-risk patients as prominent areas of concern highlights the complexity of patient populations undergoing orthopedic surgery. The authors emphasize that addressing these factors through targeted prehabilitation interventions can lead to improved surgical outcomes. This is particularly relevant for orthopedic surgery patients who often face unique challenges related to mobility and recovery.

Moreover, the article outlines the evolution of prehabilitation practices, indicating a shift towards a more holistic approach that encompasses physical, nutritional, psychological, and other interventions. This multi-faceted strategy is crucial, as it acknowledges the interconnectedness of various health determinants and their impact on surgical recovery. By synthesizing influential publications and analyzing publication trends, the authors provide valuable insights that could guide future research and clinical practices in prehabilitation.

The critical evaluation of the material reveals that while the bibliometric analysis is robust, there is an inherent limitation in relying solely on publication trends to assess the efficacy of prehabilitation interventions. Future studies should aim to incorporate empirical data that directly correlates prehabilitation practices with measurable patient outcomes. Additionally, the authors could enhance their discussion by exploring the barriers to implementing prehabilitation in clinical settings, particularly for vulnerable populations.

CONCLUSION

The literature surrounding prehabilitation for patients undergoing orthopedic surgery indicates a significant evolution in understanding its role in enhancing

© The Indonesian Journal of General Medicine

surgical outcomes. The systematic review by (Thomas et al., 2019) emphasizes the necessity of personalizing prehabilitation programs to improve therapeutic validity, particularly for high-risk groups such as the elderly. Their findings advocate for tailored interventions that can boost pre-operative physical fitness and expedite postoperative mobilization, thereby laying a foundation for the variability in prehabilitation modalities and the need for comprehensive postoperative care.

Following this, (L. Waterland et al., 2021) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis that highlighted the immediate postoperative benefits of prehabilitation, specifically regarding functional exercise capacity and hospital length of stay. However, they identified a notable gap in research concerning the long-term effects of prehabilitation, particularly in relation to patients' ongoing exercise behavior and their return to oncologic therapies. This gap underscores the importance of understanding the diverse prehabilitation interventions and their varying impacts across different patient demographics.

The article by (H. Koh et al., 2021) further contributes to this discourse by focusing on the benefits of prehabilitation in mitigating sarcopenia among elderly patients. Their findings demonstrate that structured prehabilitation programs can significantly decrease postoperative complications and shorten hospital stays, advocating for comprehensive geriatric assessments to effectively tailor interventions. This reinforces the critical role of personalized approaches in managing frailty and enhancing health outcomes for older surgical patients.

Additionally, (H Koh et al., 2021) show that a structured presurgery prehabilitation program can improve surgical outcomes and reduce costs for aged patients. Their research indicates a correlation between improved physiological reserves post-prehabilitation and better recovery metrics, such as the time to return to bowel function. This adds a financial perspective to the discussion, suggesting that optimized prehabilitation can yield significant

cost savings for healthcare systems alongside health benefits.

The integration of nutritional and psychosocial components into prehabilitation programs is advocated by (Paladini et al., 2023). They argue for a holistic approach that includes patient education and dietary management to maximize functional capacity and muscle mass before surgery. Their emphasis on a multidisciplinary team approach highlights the complexity of prehabilitation, indicating that successful interventions necessitate comprehensive planning and collaboration among healthcare providers.

Finally, (Ma et al., 2024) provide a bibliometric analysis that charts the evolution of prehabilitation research from 2005 to 2023. This review identifies emerging trends in the literature, particularly concerning malnutrition and frailty among older patients. The conflicting clinical effects observed in the most cited studies call for further investigation to reconcile these discrepancies and establish clearer guidelines for prehabilitation practices.

In conclusion, the reviewed literature collectively underscores the growing recognition of prehabilitation as a vital component of surgical care, particularly for at-risk populations. It advocates for personalized, multimodal approaches that integrate physical, nutritional, and psychological interventions to enhance patient outcomes and optimize recovery processes in the context of orthopedic surgery.

REFERENCES

1. Thomas, G., R. Tahir, M., C. Bongers, B., L. Kallen, V., D. Slooter, G., & L. van Meeteren, N., 2019. Prehabilitation before major intra-abdominal cancer surgery: A systematic review of randomised controlled trials. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
2. L. Waterland, J., McCourt, O., Edbrooke, L., L. Granger, C., Ismail, H., Riedel, B., & Denehy, L., 2021. Efficacy of Prehabilitation Including Exercise on Postoperative Outcomes Following Abdominal Cancer Surgery: A Systematic Review and

Meta-Analysis. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

3. H. Koh, F., H. Loh, C., J. Tan, W., M.L. Ho, L., Yen, D., M.W. Chua, J., S.X. Kok, S., S. Sivarajah, S., Chew, M. H., & Foo, F. J., 2021. Structured presurgery prehabilitation for aged patients undergoing elective surgery significantly improves surgical outcomes and reduces cost: A nonrandomized sequential comparative prospective cohort study. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
4. Paladini, A., Rawal, N., Coca Martinez, M., Trifa, M., Montero, A., Pergolizzi, J., Pasqualucci, A., Antonio Narvaez Tamayo, M., Varrassi, G., & De Leon Casasola, O., 2023. Advances in the Management of Acute Postsurgical Pain: A Review. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
5. Ma, W., Liu, Y., Liu, J., Qiu, Y., & Zuo, Y., 2024. Prehabilitation of surgical patients: a bibliometric analysis from 2005 to 2023. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
6. H Koh, F., MW Chua, J., LJ Tan, J., Foo, F. J., J Tan, W., S Sivarajah, S., Ming Li Ho, L., Teh, B. T., & Chew, M. H., 2021. Paradigm shift in gastrointestinal surgery – combating sarcopenia with prehabilitation: Multimodal review of clinical and scientific data. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

© The Indonesian Journal of General Medicine