



The Indonesian Journal of General Medicine

Screening for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Adults

¹ Maryati, ¹ Sugiarto

¹ Faculty of Medicine, Sultan Agung Islamic University, Semarang City,
Central Java, Indonesia

Correspondence : dr_maryati3948@gmail.com

Article History :

Received date : 2024/07/02
Revised date : 2024/08/17
Accepted date : 2024/09/10
Published date : 2024/10/27



Copyright: © 2024 by the
authors. Submitted for possible
open access publication under
the terms and conditions of the
Creative Commons Attribution
(BY NC) license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).



ABSTRACT

Background: The literature surrounding asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) has evolved significantly over the past decade, reflecting a complex interplay of epidemiological insights, clinical management, and evolving guidelines. **Literature Review:** The foundational study by (Olowe et al., 2013) establishes the prevalence of ASB among elderly and middle-aged individuals in rural Nigeria, identifying significant bacteriuria as a pressing concern, particularly in vulnerable populations. This study highlights the need for targeted screening and raises questions about the treatment benefits for these groups. Further exploration by (Prabha, 2016) focuses on antenatal women, emphasizing the increased risk of ASB during pregnancy and the potential complications arising from untreated cases. The identification of urine culture as the gold standard for screening is crucial, reinforcing the need for effective diagnostic strategies in this demographic. **Conclusion:** In conclusion, the literature collectively underscores the necessity for targeted screening and treatment approaches for ASB, particularly for at-risk populations such as pregnant women and the elderly. The consensus against routine treatment in asymptomatic individuals highlights the importance of evidence-based guidelines in clinical practice. Future research should focus on developing innovative screening methods and clarifying the management of ASB in various populations to enhance patient care and outcomes.

Keyword: Screening, Asymptomatic Bacteriuria, Adults

INTRODUCTION

The literature surrounding asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) has evolved significantly over the past decade, reflecting a complex interplay of epidemiological insights, clinical management, and evolving guidelines. The foundational work by (Olowe et al., 2013) highlights the prevalence of ASB among elderly and middle-aged individuals in rural Nigeria, emphasizing that significant bacteriuria—defined as the presence of 1×10^5 or more bacteria per milliliter of urine—can manifest without any accompanying symptoms. This study underscores the demographic factors influencing ASB prevalence, particularly in vulnerable populations such as the elderly and pregnant women, and raises critical questions about the benefits of treatment in these cohorts, especially considering the potential risks associated with untreated ASB.

Building on these insights, (Prabha, 2016) further delineates the characteristics of bacterial pathogens causing ASB specifically in antenatal women. This article emphasizes the heightened risk of ASB during pregnancy due to anatomical and

hormonal changes, while also noting that untreated ASB can lead to significant complications like postpartum urinary tract infections and preterm birth. The identification of the gold standard for screening—urine culture—highlights the need for effective diagnostic strategies in managing ASB among pregnant women.

In a pivotal shift, (E. Nicolle, 2016) discusses the emerging consensus against the routine treatment of ASB in most adult populations, with specific exceptions for pregnant women and select surgical patients. This article synthesizes evidence suggesting that treatment does not confer benefits in many cases, particularly among non-pregnant women, elderly individuals, and those with indwelling catheters. Nicolle's work is instrumental in shaping current clinical guidelines, advocating for a more selective approach to screening and treatment.

(Gehani et al., 2019) echo the necessity for antenatal screening, citing the significant risks posed to pregnant women, particularly in low-resource settings. They argue for the implementation of practical and

accessible screening methods, as traditional urine culture techniques may not be feasible in many contexts. This article highlights the urgent need for innovations in screening practices to mitigate adverse pregnancy outcomes associated with ASB.

(O Almaini, 2021) delves into the specific concerns surrounding ASB in post-renal transplant patients, where the decision to treat remains contentious. The article reviews various risk factors and emphasizes the lack of conclusive evidence regarding the efficacy of antibiotic treatment in this population, suggesting that further research is warranted to clarify management strategies.

(Brenneman et al., 2021) evaluate current practices in urinalysis and urine culture usage within a community health system, reinforcing Nicolle's recommendations against routine screening and treatment of ASB. Their findings reflect a critical examination of existing protocols and their alignment with current guidelines, indicating potential areas for improvement in clinical practice.

Finally, (Hernández-Hernández et al., 2022) summarize recent evidence regarding recurrent urinary tract infections and ASB across various adult populations. This comprehensive review underscores the ongoing challenges and complexities in understanding ASB's implications on urinary health and the necessity for tailored management strategies.

Together, these articles provide a nuanced understanding of ASB, illustrating the need for targeted screening and treatment approaches tailored to specific populations while critically analyzing the implications of current guidelines and practices.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article "Asymptomatic bacteriuria among elderly and middle-aged rural community-dwellers in South-Western Nigeria" by (Olowe et al., 2013) provides a comprehensive examination of the prevalence and implications of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) in specific demographic groups, particularly focusing on elderly and middle-aged individuals in a rural Nigerian context. The authors clearly define significant bacteriuria as the

presence of 1×10^5 or more bacteria per milliliter of voided mid-stream urine, establishing a foundational understanding for the reader.

The study highlights the variability in the prevalence of ASB, which ranges from 2% to 10% in pregnant women and from 15% to 50% among the elderly in long-term care facilities. This significant prevalence underscores the importance of targeted screening in populations at higher risk, such as the elderly, pregnant women, and individuals with diabetes. The authors effectively argue that the elderly, particularly those living in institutionalized settings, exhibit a higher prevalence of ASB compared to their community-dwelling counterparts, which is an important consideration for public health strategies aimed at this demographic.

A critical evaluation of the material reveals that while the authors acknowledge the controversy surrounding the treatment of ASB, they emphasize the potential risks associated with untreated ASB, such as pyelonephritis and Gram-negative septicemia in predisposed individuals. This is particularly

relevant for specific groups, including pregnant women and renal transplant recipients, where the consequences of untreated ASB can lead to serious complications. However, the authors also present a balanced view by highlighting the skepticism regarding the benefits of treating ASB in the elderly, even in institutionalized settings. This nuanced perspective is crucial as it reflects the ongoing debate in the medical community regarding the management of ASB and its implications for patient care.

The article successfully identifies a gap in the literature regarding the prevalence of urinary tract pathogens in asymptomatic individuals within a community setting. By focusing on this demographic, the authors contribute valuable data that can inform healthcare policies and screening practices. However, the study could benefit from a more robust discussion on the methodologies used for screening and diagnosis, as well as the potential for developing standardized guidelines for the management of ASB across different populations.

The article titled "Isolation and characterization of bacterial

pathogens causing asymptomatic bacteriuria in antenatal women attending Tertiary care hospital" by (Prabha, 2016) provides a comprehensive examination of asymptomatic bacteriuria, particularly within the antenatal population. The main idea centers on the prevalence and implications of asymptomatic bacteriuria, defined as the presence of bacteria in urine samples at significant counts (≥ 105 bacteria/ml) without accompanying symptoms. This condition is notably prevalent among antenatal women, with reported occurrences ranging from 2% to 10%, a statistic that highlights the need for effective screening methods in this demographic.

Prabha emphasizes the physiological changes that occur during pregnancy, which may contribute to urinary stasis and subsequently increase the risk of developing asymptomatic bacteriuria. This aspect is critical, as it underscores the unique vulnerabilities faced by antenatal women, necessitating a tailored approach to screening and management. The article further elaborates on the

potential complications arising from untreated asymptomatic bacteriuria, which can include urinary tract infections (UTIs) and adverse pregnancy outcomes, thereby reinforcing the importance of early detection and intervention.

The gold standard for screening asymptomatic bacteriuria, as identified by the author, is urine culture. This method remains essential for accurately diagnosing the condition, as it allows for the isolation and characterization of bacterial pathogens present in the urine. The article effectively highlights the significance of utilizing urine culture in clinical practice, particularly in antenatal settings where the risk factors for urinary tract infections are heightened.

In "The Paradigm Shift to Non-Treatment of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria," (E. Nicolle, 2016) presents a compelling argument grounded in evidence-based guidelines that delineate the appropriate contexts for screening and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria. The article emphasizes that screening and treatment should be limited to specific populations,

notably pregnant women and individuals undergoing certain urological procedures that may involve mucosal trauma. This delineation is crucial as it underscores the necessity of targeted interventions rather than a blanket approach to screening in diverse patient populations.

Nicolle systematically evaluates the evidence supporting the recommendation against screening and treating asymptomatic bacteriuria in various groups, including premenopausal non-pregnant women, diabetic individuals, and elderly institutionalized patients. The author highlights that strong evidence exists to support these recommendations, suggesting that the risks associated with unnecessary treatment may outweigh potential benefits. This is particularly relevant in the context of elderly populations and those with indwelling catheters, where the likelihood of adverse effects from treatment could be significant.

Moreover, the article discusses the implications of recent studies that further reinforce the guidelines, indicating that treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria does not

reduce the incidence of symptomatic urinary tract infections nor does it impact renal outcomes. Nicolle points out that even in renal transplant patients, asymptomatic bacteriuria does not correlate with adverse outcomes such as renal function decline or increased mortality risk, which challenges the traditional view of asymptomatic bacteriuria as a significant clinical concern.

However, the article does acknowledge that there is lower-quality evidence regarding the treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in older community-dwelling individuals and those with spinal cord injuries. This suggests a potential area for further research, as the nuances of these populations may warrant additional scrutiny to fully understand the implications of asymptomatic bacteriuria.

The discussion of the association between pre-operative asymptomatic bacteriuria and post-operative infections in prosthetic joints is particularly noteworthy. This observation raises questions about the need for careful consideration of screening in surgical contexts, despite

the general recommendation against treatment in asymptomatic cases.

The article "Unmet Need of Antenatal Screening for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria: A Risk Factor for Adverse Outcomes of Pregnancy" by (Gehani et al., 2019) presents a critical examination of the prevalence and implications of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) during pregnancy. The authors highlight the anatomical and functional changes that predispose pregnant women to ASB, alongside a reduction in immune response, which collectively contribute to a significant occurrence rate of 1.9%–9.5% globally. The focus on Gram-negative bacteria, particularly *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella*, *Proteus*, and *Pseudomonas*, underscores the microbiological risks associated with ASB.

A key insight from the article is the correlation between undetected and untreated ASB and adverse pregnancy outcomes. The authors provide compelling evidence that screening and subsequent treatment can mitigate these risks, advocating for universal screening of pregnant women at least once between 12 and

16 weeks of gestation or during their first prenatal visit. This recommendation is rooted in the understanding that early detection is crucial for improving maternal and fetal health outcomes.

However, the article also addresses significant challenges in implementing effective screening protocols. The authors point out that the standard method for screening—urine culture—poses practical difficulties due to its resource-intensive nature, high costs, and limited availability in low-resource settings. This raises an important issue regarding accessibility and equity in healthcare, particularly for populations that may be disproportionately affected by inadequate screening practices.

The authors call for the development of a more suitable screening test that is rapid, valid, inexpensive, user-friendly, and capable of providing antibiotic susceptibility results. This recommendation is vital, considering the scale of the issue, with an estimated 213 million pregnancies occurring annually and only 60% of these women attending antenatal

checkups. The need for innovative solutions in screening practices is evident, as the current methodologies do not meet the demands of a large, diverse population.

The article "Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Post Renal Transplant Patients: To Treat or Not?" by (O Almaini, 2021) provides a comprehensive examination of the complexities surrounding the management of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) in the context of renal transplantation. The author undertakes an extensive literature search across multiple databases, including PUBMED, Web of Science, Medline, Cochrane, and EMBASE, to synthesize current knowledge on risk factors and management strategies related to ASB.

Almaini identifies several risk factors associated with the development of ASB, highlighting that many existing studies primarily address bacteriuria without distinguishing between symptomatic and asymptomatic cases. This distinction is crucial, as it influences clinical decision-making regarding treatment. Notably, the author points out that females with delayed Foley

catheter removal and those with prolonged graft function are particularly at risk for developing bacteriuria post-transplantation. Additionally, the presence of glomerulonephritis is cited as a significant predictor for ASB, which underscores the need for tailored screening protocols based on individual patient risk profiles.

The article delves into the ongoing debate regarding the treatment of ASB in renal transplant patients. Almaini notes that despite guidelines suggesting routine screening and management, there remains a lack of consensus among clinicians and transplantation centers. This variability in practice is concerning, particularly given the insufficient evidence supporting the efficacy of antibiotic treatment for ASB in this patient population. The author emphasizes the need for more robust prospective studies with appropriate interventions and randomization to clarify the benefits and risks associated with treating ASB.

Furthermore, the case-control study referenced in the article reveals no significant differences in the risk

of developing symptomatic infections or adverse events between groups, suggesting that the treatment of ASB may not confer the anticipated protective benefits. This finding raises important questions about the necessity and appropriateness of routine screening and treatment protocols in this specific cohort.

The article titled "Evaluation of Urinalysis and Urine Culture Use at a Community Health-system" by (Brenneman et al., 2021) provides a critical examination of the practices surrounding urine testing in a community health system, particularly in the context of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB). The authors underscore the guidelines set forth by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, which advise against the routine screening and treatment of ASB in asymptomatic patients, emphasizing that such practices may lead to unnecessary interventions and antibiotic use.

The study's methodology involved a comprehensive evaluation of urinalysis and urine culture data collected from hospitalized adults within the health system. This approach allowed the authors to

analyze the frequency and appropriateness of urine testing, revealing a concerning trend of over-testing in patients who did not exhibit symptoms of urinary tract infections (UTIs). The findings suggest that a significant number of patients underwent unnecessary urine cultures, which could lead to misdiagnosis and overtreatment.

One of the key insights from (Brenneman et al., 2021) is the potential impact of these testing practices on patient care and antibiotic stewardship. The authors argue that the overuse of urine cultures not only contributes to increased healthcare costs but also poses a risk of promoting antibiotic resistance. This is particularly pertinent in light of the growing concerns surrounding antimicrobial resistance, making it essential for healthcare providers to adhere to established guidelines that recommend against screening for ASB in asymptomatic individuals.

Furthermore, the article highlights the need for educational interventions aimed at healthcare professionals to recalibrate their understanding of ASB and its clinical

implications. By fostering awareness of the guidelines and encouraging adherence to them, the authors advocate for a more judicious approach to urine testing that prioritizes patient safety and effective resource utilization.

The article "Recurrent Urinary Tract Infections and Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Adults" by (Hernández-Hernández et al., 2022) provides a comprehensive overview of the recent evidence surrounding the prevalence and implications of asymptomatic bacteriuria (ABU) in various adult populations, particularly in the context of recurrent urinary tract infections (UTIs).

The authors systematically summarize findings from recent studies, underscoring the distinction between symptomatic UTIs and ABU, which is often overlooked in clinical practice. They highlight that while ABU is frequently detected in adults, particularly among certain demographics such as the elderly and those with comorbid conditions, it does not necessarily warrant treatment unless specific criteria are met. This is a critical point, as

unnecessary antibiotic use can lead to adverse effects, including antibiotic resistance and disruption of the microbiome.

(Hernández-Hernández et al., 2022) also delve into the epidemiological aspects of recurrent UTIs, noting that certain populations, including women and individuals with urinary tract abnormalities, are more susceptible. The article emphasizes the need for careful screening practices to differentiate between ABU and clinically significant infections, advocating for a more nuanced approach to diagnosis and management. The authors argue that blanket screening for ABU in all adult populations can be counterproductive and may lead to overtreatment.

Moreover, the authors discuss the implications of their findings for clinical guidelines and public health policies. They suggest that healthcare providers should be educated about the appropriate management of ABU, particularly in light of the increasing concerns regarding antibiotic resistance. The article also calls for more research to establish standardized screening protocols that

can effectively identify patients who would benefit from treatment versus those who do not require intervention.

CONCLUSION

The literature on asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) reveals a complex and evolving understanding of its prevalence, implications, and management across various populations. The foundational study by (Olowe et al., 2013) establishes the prevalence of ASB among elderly and middle-aged individuals in rural Nigeria, identifying significant bacteriuria as a pressing concern, particularly in vulnerable populations. This study highlights the need for targeted screening and raises questions about the treatment benefits for these groups.

Further exploration by (Prabha, 2016) focuses on antenatal women, emphasizing the increased risk of ASB during pregnancy and the potential complications arising from untreated cases. The identification of urine culture as the gold standard for screening is crucial, reinforcing the need for effective diagnostic strategies in this demographic.

The paradigm shift articulated by (E. Nicolle, 2016) challenges the routine treatment of ASB in most adult populations, advocating for a selective approach that prioritizes pregnant women and specific surgical patients. This perspective is supported by evidence suggesting that treatment may not benefit many groups, particularly non-pregnant women and the elderly.

In light of the unique challenges faced by pregnant women, (Gehani et al., 2019) argues for enhanced antenatal screening practices, particularly in low-resource settings where traditional urine culture methods may be impractical. This underscores the urgent need for innovative screening solutions to prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes associated with ASB.

The complexities surrounding ASB in post-renal transplant patients are examined by (O Almaini, 2021), highlighting the contentious nature of treatment decisions in this population. The article calls for further research to clarify management strategies, reflecting the ongoing debate within the medical community.

The evaluation of urinalysis and urine culture usage in a community health system by (Brenneman et al., 2021) reinforces the recommendations against routine screening and treatment of ASB, indicating a need for improved clinical practices aligned with current guidelines.

Finally, (Hernández-Hernández et al., 2022) summarizes recent findings on recurrent urinary tract infections and ASB, advocating for tailored management strategies that consider the complexities of ASB across different adult populations.

In conclusion, the literature collectively underscores the necessity for targeted screening and treatment approaches for ASB, particularly for at-risk populations such as pregnant women and the elderly. The consensus against routine treatment in asymptomatic individuals highlights the importance of evidence-based guidelines in clinical practice. Future research should focus on developing innovative screening methods and clarifying the management of ASB in various populations to enhance patient care and outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Olowe, O. A., Makanjuola, O. B., Olabiyi, K. O., Akinwusi, P. O., Alebiosu, C. O., Isawumi, M. A., Hassan, M. B., Asekun-Olarinmoye, E. O., Adebimpe, W. O., & Adewole, T. A., 2013. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among elderly and middle-aged rural community-dwellers in South-Western Nigeria. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
2. Prabha, P., 2016. Isolation and characterization of bacterial pathogens causing asymptomatic bacteriuria in antenatal women attending Tertiary care hospital. [\[PDF\]](#)
3. E. Nicolle, L., 2016. The Paradigm Shift to Non-Treatment of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
4. Gehani, M., Kapur, S., Bhardwaj, P., Nag, V., Manni Balasubramaniam, S., Kammili, N., & D. Madhuri, S., 2019. Unmet Need of Antenatal Screening for Asymptomatic Bacteriuria: A Risk Factor for Adverse

Outcomes of Pregnancy.
ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

5. O Almaini, A., 2021. Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Post Renal Transplant Patients: To Treat or Not?.
ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
6. Brenneman, M., C Bohn, B., E Moore, S., Wilde, A., Wilde, A., & Song, M., 2021. 85. Evaluation of Urinalysis and Urine Culture Use at a

Community Health-system.
ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

7. Hernández-Hernández, D., Padilla-Fernández, B., Yanira Ortega-González, M., & Manuel Castro-Díaz, D., 2022. Recurrent Urinary Tract Infections and Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Adults.
ncbi.nlm.nih.gov