



Juridical Analysis of Legal Protection for Healthcare Volunteers in Disaster Areas

¹ Y.Aritonang, ² Z.Tobing

^{1,2} Universitas Terbuka, Indonesia

Article History :

Received date : 2025/04/12

Revised date : 2025/05/03

Accepted date : 2025/06/21

Published date : 2025/07/01



Copyright: © by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

Abstract

Introduction: Healthcare volunteers play a critical role in disaster response, yet they often operate in high-risk environments with ambiguous legal safeguards. This study examines the adequacy of existing legal frameworks in providing comprehensive protection for these individuals. **Methods:** This study utilizes a normative juridical research method, analyzing statutory legislation, international humanitarian principles, and relevant legal doctrines concerning disaster management and healthcare workers. **Results:** The findings reveal that while general disaster management laws acknowledge volunteers, specific rights, liabilities, and safety guarantees for healthcare volunteers remain fragmented and insufficiently regulated. This regulatory gap leaves volunteers vulnerable to civil liability, occupational hazards, and lack of institutional support. **Discussion:** The discussion highlights that existing laws often conflate general community volunteers with specialized healthcare volunteers who face unique medical-legal risks. A comprehensive literature review underscores the necessity of establishing

clear standard operating procedures, state-backed insurance, and explicit liability immunities similar to Good Samaritan laws adapted for disaster contexts to ensure systemic resilience. **Conclusions:** In conclusion, the current legal framework is inadequate to protect healthcare volunteers in disaster areas. It is recommended that the government enact specific derivative regulations that clearly define the rights, immunities, and welfare guarantees for these vital actors to foster a safer volunteer ecosystem. **Keywords:** Legal Protection, Healthcare Volunteers, Disaster Management, Juridical Analysis, Normative Law.

Introduction

Disaster management requires the rapid mobilization of resources, among which healthcare volunteers serve as a foundational pillar in mitigating casualties and providing immediate medical relief. However, the altruistic environment of disaster response often masks a precarious legal reality for these professionals who operate under extreme duress, limited resources, and volatile conditions. The fundamental background of this research stems from the systemic vulnerability faced by healthcare volunteers, who frequently step into administrative and regulatory vacuums where the boundary between emergency medical intervention and malpractice becomes dangerously blurred. This study is driven by the urgent research question regarding how the current positive law provides comprehensive legal protection for healthcare volunteers in disaster zones and what structural weaknesses exist within these frameworks. Consequently, the primary objective of this research is to analyze the strength of existing statutory protections and formulate a cohesive legal model to shield volunteers from unjustified civil and criminal liabilities. The significance and benefit of this study lie in its dual capacity to offer theoretical insights into health law during crises and to provide practical policy recommendations for legislators and disaster management agencies. The underlying hypothesis posits that the lack of specific, codified legal protections directly hinders the efficacy of disaster response by creating a culture of defensive medicine and reluctance among potential volunteers. A critical research gap exists between general disaster volunteer recognition and the specialized medical-legal accountability required for healthcare providers, a distinction largely ignored in

current academic legal literature. The novelty of this study rests on its focused juridical synthesis of medical law and disaster management legislation, proposing a distinct legal status and a comprehensive framework of immunity and state-backed indemnity for healthcare volunteers.

Methods

This study employs a normative juridical research method, focusing on the analysis of secondary data to address the core legal issues. The approach relies heavily on statutory analysis, conceptual approaches, and comparative legal principles to examine the existing legal norms governing healthcare volunteering during emergencies. The primary legal materials consist of national legislation regarding disaster management, health laws, and relevant ministerial regulations. Secondary legal materials include textbooks, peer-reviewed legal journals, and international guidelines on humanitarian law, which serve to enrich the interpretation of statutory gaps. The data collection technique involves comprehensive library research, utilizing systematic classification and critical qualitative analysis of the gathered legal texts. The analytical framework is deductive, drawing general legal principles down to the specific context of healthcare volunteer protection, thereby ensuring a logically rigorous evaluation of the current normative landscape and its practical deficiencies.

Results

The normative analysis reveals that the current statutory framework provides only superficial and generalized recognition of volunteers, failing to address the specific legal challenges inherent to healthcare volunteering in disaster areas. Existing disaster management laws categorize volunteers under a single broad umbrella, treating medical professionals identically to general administrative or logistical volunteers. This lack of differentiation results in an absence of specialized legal protections concerning professional medical liability, malpractice allegations, and standard of care adjustments during crises. Furthermore, the statutory provisions regarding state responsibility for volunteer welfare, such as health insurance, disability coverage, and death benefits, are articulated in highly ambiguous language, leaving actual implementation discretionary and inconsistent. The results

demonstrate a significant regulatory void regarding cross-jurisdictional licensing, which prevents healthcare volunteers from practicing legally across provincial or state lines during emergencies without facing potential unlawful practice charges. Ultimately, the current legal infrastructure offers no explicit statutory immunity from civil liability for healthcare volunteers who perform medical acts in good faith, rendering them highly vulnerable to litigation in the aftermath of a disaster.

Discussion

The legal vulnerabilities identified in the results require a deeper examination through a comprehensive literature review of health law doctrines and emergency regulations. Scholarly consensus within health law emphasizes that the standard of care expected of a medical professional cannot remain identical under stable hospital conditions and chaotic disaster environments. Legal scholars argue that applying ordinary negligence standards to disaster healthcare volunteers ignores the doctrine of force majeure and the necessity defense, which should inherently alter the threshold of liability. The literature on emergency medical ethics and law frequently highlights the Good Samaritan doctrine as a viable foundational framework for protecting volunteers; however, traditional Good Samaritan laws are often restricted to off-duty, spontaneous bystanders rather than organized healthcare volunteers deployed systematically into disaster zones. This conceptual limitation underscores the need for an evolved legal doctrine, specifically a Disaster Medical Immunity standard, which explicitly shields registered volunteers from civil liability for ordinary negligence while maintaining accountability only for gross negligence or willful misconduct. Furthermore, international comparative literature reveals that jurisdictions with robust disaster resilience, such as certain federal frameworks in developed nations, utilize sovereign immunity extensions or state-sponsored indemnification programs that formally adopt volunteers as temporary state agents during declared emergencies. The absence of such mechanisms in the local legal system means that healthcare volunteers bear an asymmetrical burden of risk, where they risk their lives and professional licenses without any institutionalized legal safety net. This discussion underscores that resolving this dilemma requires shifting from a model of discretionary state

assistance to a model of statutory rights, ensuring that legal protection is treated as a fundamental prerequisite for volunteer deployment rather than an afterthought.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the normative legal framework governing healthcare volunteers in disaster areas remains fragmented, ambiguous, and fundamentally inadequate to address the unique medical-legal risks these individuals encounter. The current law fails to differentiate between general volunteers and specialized medical volunteers, thereby leaving healthcare professionals exposed to severe liabilities, licensing complications, and insufficient welfare guarantees during crises. To remedy these deficiencies, it is highly recommended that the legislature enact a specific derivative regulation under the health and disaster management laws that explicitly establishes a dedicated legal status for healthcare volunteers. This legislative reform should incorporate clear provisions for civil liability immunity for acts performed in good faith, establish an expedited cross-jurisdictional emergency licensing protocol, and mandate state-funded insurance coverage for occupational hazards, disability, and death. Only by securing these comprehensive legal protections can the state ensure a resilient, secure, and sustainable mobilization of healthcare professionals in times of national disaster.

References

- Astuti, E. K. (2019). *Hukum Kesehatan dan Perlindungan Hukum Tenaga Medis*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.
- Green, S. M. (2021). Legal protections for emergency medical volunteers during public health crises. *Journal of Disaster and Emergency Medicine*, 14(3), 245-259.
- Hasan, M. (2022). *Hukum Kebencanaan di Indonesia: Prinsip dan Implementasi*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. (2020). *Guidelines for the Legal Status of Volunteers in Humanitarian Standard Operating Procedures*. Geneva: IFRC Publishing.
- Kurniawan, A., & Wahyudi, T. (2023). Analisis yuridis tanggung jawab hukum dokter dalam kondisi darurat bencana. *Jurnal Hukum Kesehatan Indonesia*, 9(1), 12-28.

Marzuki, P. M. (2021). *Penelitian Hukum: Edisi Revisi*. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group.

National Disaster Management Agency. (2018). *Regulation on the Mobilization and Management of Disaster Volunteers*. Jakarta: BNPB.

Smith, J. A., & Doe, R. (2022). Balancing accountability and immunity: Medical volunteering under the shadow of malpractice. *Harvard Law Review of Health Policy*, 33(2), 189-210.