



A Juridical Analysis of Clinical Practice by Nurses Without Physician Supervision

¹ I.Manurung, ² J.Siregar

^{1,2} Universitas Terbuka, Indonesia

Article History :

Received date : 2025/10/17

Revised date : 2025/11/05

Accepted date : 2025/12/23

Published date : 2026/01/01



Copyright: © by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (BY NC) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

Abstract

Introduction: The shifting dynamics of healthcare delivery have increasingly positioned nurses at the forefront of clinical practice, often leading to situations where they provide medical interventions without direct physician supervision. This study examines the legal implications, professional boundaries, and liabilities arising from independent nursing practices from a juridical perspective. **Methods:** This research utilizes a normative juridical method, analyzing existing statutory laws, health regulations, judicial precedents, and conceptual legal frameworks governing medical and nursing practices. **Results:** The findings indicate a critical legal friction between the expanding clinical realities of nursing autonomy and the rigid statutory frameworks that require physician delegation for invasive or diagnostic medical acts. While emergency exceptions exist, prolonged or systematic unsupervised clinical practice by nurses creates significant exposure to administrative, civil, and criminal liabilities due to ambiguous regulatory boundaries. **Discussion:** The literature underscores that a profound regulatory

vacuum exists regarding advanced nursing practices. The intersection of medical law and nursing legislation fails to provide a cohesive shield for practitioners, necessitating a clear distinction between independent nursing care and delegated medical tasks. Bridging this research gap requires establishing precise collaborative protocols to ensure patient safety and legal certainty. **Conclusions:** Unsupervised clinical practice by nurses without explicit legal authorization or physician delegation constitutes a high legal risk under current frameworks. It is recommended that legislative bodies amend healthcare statutes to formally recognize advanced clinical nursing roles while implementing standardized supervisory guidelines.

Keywords: Nursing Law, Physician Supervision, Juridical Analysis, Medical Liability, Clinical Practice.

Introduction

The transformation of modern healthcare systems has triggered a critical reevaluation of professional boundaries among healthcare practitioners, particularly regarding the operational autonomy of nursing staff. In many clinical settings, especially within rural or understaffed medical facilities, nurses frequently encounter situations where they must execute clinical decisions and medical interventions without the direct supervision or immediate availability of a licensed physician. This practical reality, however, often clashes directly with traditional legal frameworks that historically position physicians as the primary gatekeepers of medical diagnosis and prescriptive authority. The legal ambiguity surrounding unsupervised nursing practice creates a precarious environment where the imperative of patient care conflicts with statutory compliance. Consequently, understanding the precise legal boundaries, the nature of delegated authority, and the parameters of independent nursing practice is essential to safeguarding both patient welfare and the legal security of healthcare professionals.

The primary problem underlying this research stems from the evident mismatch between field-level healthcare demands and strict statutory definitions of medical vs. nursing domains. Specifically, this study addresses the following research questions: how does the current statutory framework regulate the boundaries of

independent nursing practice versus tasks requiring mandatory physician supervision, and what are the legal liabilities incurred by a nurse who performs clinical or medical interventions in the absence of such supervision? By confronting these questions, the study aims to analyze the legal standing of independent nursing interventions and delineate the precise civil, criminal, and administrative liabilities associated with unsupervised practices. Furthermore, this research seeks to provide comprehensive benefits to the legal and medical communities. For lawmakers and healthcare regulators, it offers a foundational analysis to draft clearer, more adaptive medical regulations; for practicing nurses and hospital administrators, it serves as a risk-management guide to minimize exposure to malpractice litigation.

While historical legal literature widely addresses medical malpractice generally, a significant research gap persists concerning the precise juridical status of advanced nursing practices operating within regulatory gray areas where physician oversight is structurally or geographically impossible. Previous studies frequently generalize nursing liabilities under standard employment laws or broad tort doctrines, failing to address how contradictory health sector regulations interact when a nurse acts out of clinical necessity yet outside explicit delegated authority. This study addresses that gap, presenting its novelty by synthesizing contemporary health statutes with judicial interpretations of emergency exceptions and corporate negligence doctrines in medical law. The hypothesis posits that the current legal framework does not sufficiently protect nurses performing independent clinical practices due to an over-reliance on rigid physician-delegation models, meaning that even clinically justified interventions performed without supervision will likely attract unfavorable liability findings in a court of law unless specific emergency criteria are strictly met.

Methods

This study is conducted using a normative juridical research methodology, which focuses on the analysis of secondary data consisting of primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials. The primary legal materials analyzed include national healthcare statutes, nursing acts, medical practice regulations, and relevant ministerial decrees that dictate the scope of work for health professionals.

Secondary legal materials comprise legal textbooks, peer-reviewed law journals, black's law dictionary, and explanatory literature regarding medical jurisprudence, liability theories, and professional ethics. The tertiary materials include legal encyclopedias, dictionaries, and authoritative research reports that provide context to the statutory interpretations. The approach applied is a combination of the statutory approach and the conceptual approach, allowing the researcher to examine the consistency of existing healthcare laws while evaluating them against established doctrines of professional liability and administrative delegation. Data collection was performed through comprehensive library research and document analysis, filtering statutes and judicial precedents relevant to professional scope-of-practice disputes. The gathered qualitative legal data was then analyzed using a deductive syllogism method, applying general statutory rules to the specific clinical scenarios of unsupervised nursing practices to derive objective, logically sound juridical conclusions.

Results

The results of this normative juridical analysis demonstrate that contemporary legal frameworks establish a rigid hierarchy in clinical healthcare delivery, wherein medical diagnosis and prescriptive interventions remain strictly vested in the medical profession. Under standard statutory provisions, the scope of nursing practice is legally divided into independent mandates, which encompass holistic nursing care, and dependent or interdependent mandates, which strictly require a direct order or delegation from a licensed physician. The analysis reveals that when a nurse performs a clinical act categorized as a medical intervention—such as diagnosing a complex condition, performing invasive procedures, or prescribing regulated medication—without written delegation or real-time supervision, the legal protection afforded by their professional license is effectively compromised. Furthermore, the research indicates that current legal systems only excuse the absence of physician supervision under strictly defined emergency doctrines. These doctrines require proof of an immediate, existential threat to the patient's life and the total absolute unavailability of a physician. Outside of these narrow emergency parameters, systematic or routine unsupervised clinical practice is identified by the

law as an ultra vires act, meaning it exceeds legal authority. In such instances, the nurse assumes personal liability. The findings also highlight a systemic structural risk for healthcare institutions, as hospitals and clinics can be held vicariously liable under corporate negligence doctrines for permitting nurses to operate beyond their statutory boundaries without established, legally compliant collaborative frameworks or supervisory protocols.

Discussion

The intersection of nursing autonomy and medical jurisprudence represents one of the most volatile areas of modern healthcare law. A thorough literature review reveals that the legal definition of nursing has evolved from a purely supportive, subservient role into a highly specialized, autonomous profession. Legal scholars like Jonathan Montgomery argue that professional boundaries must be flexible enough to accommodate clinical realities, yet rigid enough to protect public safety from untrained practitioners. However, standard statutory interpretations often lag behind advanced clinical training. In examining the doctrine of professional negligence, a nurse performing medical acts without supervision is judged not by the standard of care expected of a nurse, but by the higher standard of care expected of a licensed physician. This legal principle, often mirrored across multiple jurisdictions, implies that if a nurse undertakes a physician's role, they must demonstrate a physician's expertise, creating an incredibly high threshold of liability in the event of an adverse clinical outcome.

The concept of delegated authority is central to this discussion. Legal scholars specialize in medical law emphasize that delegation is not merely a transfer of tasks but a retention of accountability. For delegation to be legally valid, it must be explicit, specific, and within the scope of both the delegating physician and the receiving nurse. When supervision is entirely absent, the chain of legal accountability fractures. In many cases, nurses rely on verbal orders or implied customs within a clinic, which hold minimal weight in a court of law when contrasted with explicit statutory prohibitions. The research gap identified earlier becomes manifest here; existing statutes fail to address the nuance of advanced practice registered nurses who possess the clinical competence to operate

independently but lack the statutory recognition to do so legally without a physician's sign-off. This creates a state of systematic vulnerability for practitioners who are forced to choose between abandoning a patient in need or violating the strict letter of the medical practice act.

Moreover, analyzing this issue through the lens of tort law reveals that a nurse practicing independently without a supervisory framework faces multi-layered legal jeopardy. In terms of administrative law, they face the immediate revocation or suspension of their professional license by licensing boards for practicing medicine without a license. In civil law, they can be sued for battery—since any invasive medical procedure performed without proper legal authority or valid consent rooted in statutory law can be construed as unlawful touching—as well as professional malpractice. From a criminal law standpoint, if the unsupervised practice results in severe injury or death, the absence of physician supervision or valid delegation strips away the professional immunity typically granted to healthcare workers, leaving the practitioner open to charges of culpable negligence or involuntary manslaughter. The literature consistently demonstrates that courts are highly reluctant to expand the emergency exception rule to cover routine clinical shortages, meaning institutional understaffing does not absolve the individual nurse from their personal statutory duty to remain within their legally defined scope of practice.

Conclusion

Based on the normative juridical analysis conducted, it can be concluded that the practice of clinical interventions by nurses without physician supervision exists in a state of severe legal vulnerability under current statutory frameworks. Except within strictly defined, immediate life-threatening emergencies, any medical diagnosis, prescription, or invasive procedure executed by a nurse without an explicit, legally valid delegation or supervisory structure violates the boundaries of professional practice acts. This unauthorized autonomy strips the nurse of standard professional immunities, exposing them to severe administrative sanctions, civil malpractice lawsuits, and criminal prosecutions for practicing medicine without a license. The current laws fail to align with the advanced clinical competencies of

modern nurses and the structural demands of understaffed healthcare facilities, resulting in a regulatory vacuum that jeopardizes both patient safety and practitioner security.

To address these legal challenges, it is urgently recommended that legislative bodies amend current healthcare and nursing acts to formally codify and recognize advanced nursing practices, explicitly defining the boundaries of autonomous clinical decision-making. Furthermore, ministries of health and professional regulatory boards must collaborate to develop standardized, legally binding collaborative practice agreements that outline exactly which medical tasks can be independently managed by advanced nurses and which require mandatory physician supervision. Finally, healthcare institutions must abandon informal verbal delegation customs and implement strict, digitally documented clinical protocols that provide clear legal trails of delegation, ensuring that no nurse is forced or permitted to perform unsupervised medical acts outside a legally recognized framework.

References

Journals

- Brous, E. (2020). Legal Considerations in Advanced Practice Nursing. *The American Journal of Nursing*, 120(9), 45-48.
- Geyer, N., & Coetzee, I. (2023). Professional Boundaries and the Legal Liability of Nurses in Independent Practice. *Journal of Health Law and Policy*, 16(2), 112-125.
- Reiersen, A. (2021). The Right to Practice: Assessing the Legal Friction Between Physicians and Advanced Practice Registered Nurses. *Medical Law Review*, 29(4), 601-624.

Books

- Aiken, T. D. (2019). *Legal, Ethical, and Political Issues in Nursing*. F.A. Davis Company.
- Montgomery, J. (2022). *Health Care Law* (3rd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Pozgar, G. D. (2024). *Legal Aspects of Health Care Administration* (14th ed.). Jones & Bartlett Learning.

Guidelines

- American Nurses Association. (2021). *Nursing: Scope and Standards of Practice* (4th ed.). American Nurses Association.
- World Health Organization. (2020). *State of the World's Nursing 2020: Investing in Education, Jobs and Leadership*. World Health Organization.